



# US Payer Strategies for Managing Drug Prices, and Implications for Sustaining Research and Innovation

James C. Robinson Leonard D. Schaeffer Professor of Health Economics University of California

#### **Payer and Policymaker Arousal**

- Payers, policymakers, and the public are very aroused on drug prices; the industry is demonized
- Why? The timing seems difficult to explain:
  - The pipeline of innovation is remarkable. Breakthrough therapies are benefiting rare, intractable conditions and large public health conditions: orphan illnesses, gene therapies, HCV, auto-immune, oncology
- Reason: per-patient prices are rising rapidly at launch and in post-launch increases, and are being passed on thru premiums and cost sharing



DRUG (COMPANY)	PRICE* Dec. 31 2010 Present		PRICE GROWTH
Humira (AbbVie) 40 mg/0.8 ml pre-filled syringes	\$1,676.98	\$3,797.10	126.4%
Enbrel (Amgen) 50 mg/ml subcutaneous sol.	\$427.24	\$932.16	110.2%
Copaxone (Teva) 20 mg/ml subcutaneous sol.	\$3,025.04	\$6,593.00	118.0%
Crestor (AstraZeneca) 10 mg tablets	\$350.17	\$745.41	112.9%
Abilify (Otsuka) 10 mg tablets	\$454.07	\$891.97	96.4%
Lantus Solostar (Sanofi SA) 100 units/ml subcutaneous sol.	\$191.95	\$372.76	94.2%
Advair Diskus (GlaxoSmithKline) 250/50 inhalation discs	\$199.90	\$334.63	67.4%
Remicade (Johnson & Johnson) 100 mg IV powder for solution	\$657.87	\$1,071.48	62.9%
Neulasta (Amgen) 6 mg/0.6 ml subcutaneous sol.	\$3,320.00	\$5,155.65	55.3%
Nexium (AstraZeneca) 10 mg oral packets	\$162.55	\$250.94	54.4%
" Reflects wholesale acquisition prices be prescribed dose. Source: Traven Health Analytics S. Culp. 30/05/2016	fore volume-rela	ited rebates an	d other discounts. Prices are based on most commonly

### **Payer Strategies**

- FDA is accelerating authorization based on limited evidence, creating payer uncertainty on value
- Payers are pushing back on utilization and access:
  - Formulary exclusions
  - Prior authorization and step therapy for prescription
  - Physician payment incentives to select cheaper option
  - Rising consumer cost sharing
- These strategies target reductions in volumes (prescription/adherence) and reductions in price
- Competition is fierce in large specialty classes:
  - HCV, multiple sclerosis, auto-immune biologics, diabetes, some cancers, cardiovascular
- Greater reliance on HTA, with some explicit agreements to accept 'value-base' prices in exchange for enhanced access

#### Increased Payer Resistance to Prescription of Expensive Treatments

- Tighter and more stringent criteria for prior authorization
- Criteria increasingly linked to disease severity, going 'inside the FDA label'
- Requirement for <u>documentation</u>, not merely MD <u>attestation</u>
- More stringent step therapy, with more patients required to 'try and fail' drugs

#### Change in PA burden over last five years

**Q:** How has the burden associated with PA changed over the last five years for the physicians and staff in your practice?



Source: 2017 AMA Prior Authorization Physician Survey

#### Employees and Patients Face Ever-Higher Cost Sharing

#### Figure 15

Average General Annual Health Plan Deductibles for Single Coverage, 2006-2017



\* Estimate is statistically different from estimate for the previous year shown (p < .05).

NOTE: Average general annual deductible is among all covered workers. Workers in plans without a general annual deductible for in-network services are assigned a value of zero.

SOURCE: Kaiser/HRET Survey of Employer-Sponsored Health Benefits, 2006-2017

#### Intense Prior Authorization and Cost Sharing Are Slowing Drug Adoption, Relative to Projections



*‡* Source: QuintilesIMS, Payer and Managed Care Insights

#### Price Negotiations Now Are Reducing Growth in Net Prices, in Some Case to Negative

Protected brand net price increases moderated to 0.3% on average in 2018 as invoice price growth continued to fall

Exhibit 18: Protected Brand Invoice and Net Price Growth %



#### **Policy Developments**



- Political and policy context
- Trump administration proposals
- Democrat proposals

#### **Political Context**

- All eyes on the 2020 national elections
  - Trump seeks to rally populist base by bashing pharma prices; traditional Republicans are pro-industry
  - Democrats seek to rally liberal base by bashing pharma prices; moderate Dems seek non-radical changes
- Pharma is relentless criticized, required to justify prices, R&D spending, marketing etc. before Congress
- Payers (PBM) also are relentlessly criticized.
  Politicians cannot decide whether they are the problem, the solution, or both

#### **Energized Trump Administration**

Trump Administration has proposed a wide range of potentially radical proposals, though it is not clear how much political capital they will spend (don't want to collaborate with Dems and give them a victory)

Blueprint Proposal	Action	Blueprint Proposal	Action
Immediate Actions	Taken	Further Opportunities	Taken
Increase Medicare formulary flexibility	8/29/18	Requiring site neutrality in payment	
Eliminate cost-sharing on generic drugs for low-income beneficiaries		Additional efforts to promote the use of biosimilars	7/18/18
Require Medicare Part D plans to apply rebates at the point-of-sale	1/31/19	Considering fiduciary status for Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBMs)	1/31/19
Exclude manufacturer discounts from beneficiary OOP costs in the donut hole		Restrict the use of rebates, incl. revisiting the AntiKickback statute	1/31/19
Establish a beneficiary out of pocket maximum in the catastrophic phase coverage		Reforms to the 340B Drug Discount Program	
Steps to prevent manufacturer gaming of regulatory processes such as REMS	5/17/18	Value-based purchasing in fed programs, incl. indication-based pricing and L-T financing	
Measures to promote innovation and competition for biologics	7/18/18	Considering how to encourage sharing of samples needed for generic drug development	
Leveraging the Competitive Acquisition Program in Part B	10/25/18	Removing government impediments to value-based purchasing by private payers	
Prioritize FDA review where there is limited to no competition	8/8/18	Evaluating the accuracy and usefulness of current national drug spending data	
FDA evaluation of requiring manufacturers to include list prices in advertising	8/23/18	Tools to address foreign government threats of compulsory licensing or IP theft	11/30/18
Update Medicare's drug-pricing dashboard so price increases and generic competition is transparent	5/15/18	Incentives to discourage manufacturer price increases for drugs used in Part B and Part D	8/20/18
Pharmacy "gag" rule closes	10/10/18	Reforms to the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program	8/20/18
Information on price increases and low cost alternatives in the Part D EOB		Measures to inform Medicare Part B and D beneficiaries about lower-cost alternatives	
Experimenting with value-based purchasing in federal programs contracting		Providing better annual information on costs to Part D beneficiaries	
Report to the President on Medicare Part B negotiation for Part D	8/7/18	Considering changes to HHS regulations regarding drug copay discount cards	
Assessing the problem of foreign free-riding protection	10/25/18		
Proposals to stop Medicaid from raising prices in the private market			

Source: Nephron Research, 2019

Most (if any) of these never will be enacted, but they contribute to an atmosphere of siege of the industry

#### **Energized Democrats**

- Democrats in Congress, as well as Democratic candidates for president, also have proposed a wide range of radical proposals
- Expand Medicare (single payer), which will lead to administered pricing and HTA for drugs
- Even without expansion, permit Medicare Part D plans to negotiate prices collectively with pharma
- Some states seek to impose ceiling on annual prices increases; some seek to impose ceiling on price levels
- Some favor direct regulation of prices for biologics, arguing that reliance on biosimilars has failed
- Some would weaken patent protections
  - Prohibit patent extension strategies by pharma
  - Enforce 'march in rights' to establish lower prices
  - Greater reliance on government grants to fund R&D
  - Price regulation based on public utility model

None of this has been approved. None may be approved due to opposition from Republicans. It is not clear that Trump would collaborate, as he would not want to give the Dems a policy victory

# How to Sustain Innovation and the Life Sciences Industry?



- The US market accounts for 46% of sales revenues and 78% of profits across all OECD nations
- Compression of prices and profits will reduce potential funding for investments in R&D
- What other funding sources are potentially available?
- Do we have examples of successful policy initiatives to stimulate investment and innovation?

#### The US has been Supplying a Large and Growing Portion of Global Drug R&D



https://www.abpi.org.uk/media/1119/investing innovation.pdf

#### Industry and Governmental Funding for Pharmaceutical R&D in the United States

Industry has funded 60% of total R&D in the US, rising over time as governmental funding has eroded in inflationadjusted terms

This now is at risk



## Which Sources of R&D Funding Can Be Used to Supplement Industry Revenues?

- Expanded <u>tax-based support for basic science</u>, through NIH and other entities
- Expanded <u>tax credits</u> for R&D, with especially generous credits for investments in areas of especially high need
- Expanded <u>direct public grants</u> to support product commercialization, including the SBIR and related programs for technology-based startups
- Expanded <u>innovation prizes</u> that reward developmental milestones as well as new product launch
- <u>Targeted tax reductions</u> on profits obtained from patentprotected and other innovation-intensive products

## Innovation Prizes To Support Cell And Gene Therapy

James C. Robinson

JULY 2, 2019 DOI: 10.1377/hblog20190626.554362





The Berkeley Center for Health Technology (BCHT) promotes the efficiency and effectiveness of health care through research and education on the development, insurance coverage, payment, and appropriate use of medical technologies.

BCHT.Berkeley.Edu



Berkeley School of Public Health